# PR Analysis: PR-331

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Analysis By: | Joseph Cox |
| Date: | 18 May, 2021 |

## Root Cause Analysis

*Purpose: determines the root cause behind a reported problem. These can include procedure, process or design issues. The root cause is the core issue that set in motion the entire cause-and-effect reaction that ultimately led to the problem.*

*This template uses the 5 Why method of root cause analysis. In some cases, other methods will be more appropriate and the reviewer is free to use such a one.*

*The* 5 Why *root cause analysis must be performed for problems including quality escapes. For product* ***improvements****, carry out the* Streamlined *analysis.*

### Streamline Root Cause Analysis

*This is used for continual improvement and other process and product improvements*

|  |
| --- |
| *Problem:* The quality plan needs to match the manufacturing quality plan in terms of what is tracked for parts in service. |
| There were many changes involved in updating the quality plans after the manufacturing quality plan was created |
| *Root Cause:* There is no programmatic way of tracing impacts through planning documents |

## Impact Analysis

*Purpose: leverages the Root Cause Analysis to identify what impacts a problem has, including to parts either in service, or already classified as conforming. This analysis should use trend analysis and risk assessment tools as necessary to determine the severity of, and long-term effects of, non-conformances.*

*If the problem report concerns an improvement, then identify the impacts of not carrying it out.*

### Identify Impacts

*Identify potential impacts of both the problem and its root cause to these areas:*

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Problem/Cause** | **Impacts** |
| The quality plan needs to match the manufacturing quality plan in terms of what is tracked. | The tracking for parts in service in the quality plan was too extensive. This has had no impact as there are no parts in service. |
| There were many changes involved in updating the quality plans after the manufacturing quality plan was created | Quality plan processes were improved including reviews of PRs to include more analysis and reviews. |
| There is no programmatic way of tracing impacts through planning documents. | Other changes might fail to be captured in all relevant planning documents. |

### Analyze Trends

*Collect trend data for each impact and, if appropriate, produce charts. Consider previous PR’s, performance data, QA records, assembly data, and other data as relevant. Determine if trends are increasing, stable, or decreasing over the last year or other relevant period. If no trend data exists, say so.*

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Impact** | **Trend** |
| The tracking for parts in service in the quality plan was too extensive. This has had no impact as there are no parts in service. | No trend relevant. |
| Quality plan processes were improved including reviews of PRs to include more analysis and reviews. | No trend relevant. |
| Other changes might fail to be captured in all relevant planning documents. | Three similar issues were detected recently. One involving training not being updated after this large change and another involving a PR that was rushed through and missed including all the relevant documents. |

### Analyze Risk

*Use a Risk Matrix to determine Risk by assigning probability of impact and severity of impact in a 5 point scale (Risk = probability x severity). Other methods of risk assessment may be used as appropriate. Determine Risk for each identified impact.*

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Impact** | **Probability (1-5)** | **Severity (1-5)** | **Risk (P x S)** |
| The tracking for parts in service in the quality plan was too extensive. This has had no impact as there are no parts in service. | NA | NA | NA |
| Quality plan processes were improved including reviews of PRs to include more analysis and reviews. | NA | NA | NA |
| Other changes might fail to be captured in all relevant planning documents. | 3 | 3 | 9 |

## Prioritization Analysis

*Purpose: determines the priority for resolving the Problem Report. PRs shown to impact the current flightworthiness of in-service parts (via the Impact Analysis) or the status of conforming parts must trigger the Non-Conforming Part Disposition process. If a Prioritization results in a need for an immediate operations halt (for example, due to insufficient or incorrect inspections) then the identified actions must take place immediately.*

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| ***Question*** | ***Answer***  |
| *Does the problem impact the current flightworthiness of in-service parts (via the Impact Analysis) or the status of conforming parts? (Select one):* | *(Yes/No) If yes,* t*rigger the Non-Conforming Part Disposition process.* |
| *Is an immediate operations halt needed? (Select one):* | *(Yes/No) If yes, the identified actions must take place immediately.* |
| *Based on the Risk Analysis and above priority questions, should the Priority be (select one):* | *(Routine Review/Immediate Review/Possible Operations Halt)* |